

United States Patent and Trademark Office

HA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,510	09/594,510 06/16/2000		Alan G. Wood	M4065.0184/P184 2407	
24998	7590	07/14/2006		EXAM	INER
DICKSTEI	N SHAP	IRO LLP		LUU, CH	UONG A
1825 EYE S	TREET N	W			,
Washington, DC 20006-5403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/594,510	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuong A. Luu	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed 's will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/24	V2006.					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-23,35 and 38-41</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-23,35 and 38-41 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderating of the lideration of by the lideration of the drawing of the lideration of the drawing of the lideration of the liderat	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate latent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23, 35 and 38-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

The Rejections

Claims 1-18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lam (U.S. 6,344,104 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/594,510

Art Unit: 2818

Lam discloses a stacked-die integrated circuit chip package with

(1); (11) forming conductive structures in contact with a top surface of a dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);

subsequently, forming a layered assembly by attaching a wafer to said dielectric substrate, such that said conductive traces are in electrical communication with semiconductor devices in said wafer(see Figures 5-8);

forming input/output devices in contact with said conductive traces (see Figures 5-8);

subsequently, dicing said layered assembly (see Figures 5-8);

- (2) further comprising the step of connecting said semiconductor devices to input/output devices (see Figures 5-8);
- (3) wherein said testing is conducted through said input/output devices (see Figures 5-8);
- (4) further comprising the step of discarding one or more defective packages (see Figures 5-8);
- (5) wherein said step of forming said layered assembly includes the step of adhering said wafer to said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);
- (6) further comprising the step of electrically connecting said semiconductor devices to ball grid arrays on said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);
- (7) wherein said connecting step comprises the step of locating wire bonds in openings through said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);

Application/Control Number: 09/594,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

(8) wherein said connecting step comprises the step of connecting solder bumps on said wafer to circuit traces on said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);

- (9); (17) wherein said dicing step is performed by a saw (see Figures 5-8);
- (10) further comprising the step of providing an electrode pad in said layered assembly (see Figures 5-8);
- (12) wherein said forming step comprises the step of adhering said wafer to said metal layer (see Figures 5-8);
- (13); (14) wherein said connecting step comprises the step of locating wire bonds in openings through said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);
- (15) wherein said connecting step comprises the step of connecting solder bumps on said wafer to conductive traces on said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);
- (16) further comprising the step of connecting said traces to conductive vias extending through said dielectric substrate (see Figures 5-8);
- (18) further comprising the step of testing said semiconductor devices through said ball grid arrays (see Figures 5-8).

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The Rejections

Claims 19 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon et al. (U.S. 6,479,887 B1) in view of Yang (U.S. 6,498,387 B1).

Yoon discloses a semiconductor package with

(19) subsequently, attaching said semiconductor wafer to said dielectric tape; subsequently, connecting said semiconductor devices in said wafer to ball grid arrays on said dielectric tape;

simultaneously dicing said wafer and said dielectric tape (see Figures 2A-2F).

Yoon teaches everything above except for aligning a plurality of semiconductor devices in a semiconductor wafer with respected to openings in a dielectric tape. However, Yang discloses a wafer level package with (19)..... aligning a plurality of semiconductor devices in a semiconductor wafer with respected to openings in a dielectric tape (see abstract. Figures 11-15); (39) further comprising the step of attaching said dielectric tape to said wafer by applying heat or pressure to the assembly (see column 4, lines 29-34); (40) further comprising the step of evacuating gas from said assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yoon and Yang to fabricate a semiconductor device

Art Unit: 2818

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon et al. (U.S. 6,479,887 B1) in view of Yang (U.S. 6,498,387 B1) and further in view of Gaynes et al. (U.S. 6,165,885).

Yoon and Yang teach everything above except for wherein said wafer is optically aligned with respect to said dielectric tape. However, Gaynes discloses an electronic component with (20) wherein said wafer is optically aligned with respect to said dielectric tape (see column 18, lines 51-65) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Yoon and Yang (in accordance with the teaching of Gaynes) to optically aligned with respected to the dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon et al. (U.S. 6,479,887 B1) in view of Yang (U.S. 6,498,387 B1) and further in view of Smith (U.S. 6,300,149).

Yoon and Yang teaches everything above except for wherein said wafer is magnetically aligned with respected to said dielectric tape; wherein oppositely charged magnetically elements are provided on said wafer and said tape; further comprising the step of locating a magnetic ring in a charged slot. However, Smith'149 discloses an integrated circuit with (21) wherein said wafer is magnetically aligned with respected to said dielectric tape (see column 4, lines 30-67); (22) wherein oppositely charged magnetically elements are provided on said wafer and said tape (see column 4, lines 30-67); (23) further comprising the step of locating a magnetic ring in a charged slot

(see column 4, lines 30-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Yoon and Yang (in accordance with the teaching of Smith) to magnetically aligned with respected to the dielectric layer during fabrication of a semiconductor device.

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The Rejections

Claims 35, 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lam (U.S. 6,344,104 B1) in view of Yang (U.S. 6,498,387 B1).

Lam discloses a semiconductor device with

Respect to claims:

(35) connecting said semiconductor devices to respective ball grid arrays located on said substrate; testing said semiconductor devices through said ball grid arrays (see Figures 5-8).

Lam teaches the above outlined features except for adhering said wafer to a flexible substrate. However, Yang discloses a wafer level package with (35) adhering said wafer to a flexible substrate (see column 4, lines 29-34); (37) further comprising the step of singulating packages from said wafer and said substrate (see column 4, lines 29-34); (38) further comprising the step of segregating defective packages from other packages (see column 4, lines 29-34); (41) further comprising the step of segregating defective packages from other packages (see column 4, lines 29-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Lam (in accordance with the teaching of Yang). Doing so would facilitate the manufacture of the semiconductor device and enhance the speed of the semiconductor device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong A. Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-2:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/594,510

Art Unit: 2818

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuong Anh Luu Patent Examiner June 29, 2006